UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,601	12/12/2003	Jonathan F. Smith	95-02	2496	
	7590 04/23/200 INNER AND SULLIV	EXAMINER			
4875 PEARL E	AST CIRCLE	KELLY, ROBERT M			
SUITE 200 BOULDER, CO 80301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1633		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/23/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/735,601	SMITH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ROBERT M. KELLY	1633	

	Examine	Aironn					
	ROBERT M. KELLY	1633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abai ., or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 27 CED 41 27 must be f	ilad within two month	s of the data of				
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed of Temperature. A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		L Bolowy,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	lucina or simplifvina t	he issues for				
appeal; and/or		9,9 -					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	· ·	•	_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-19</u> .		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-15 and 20-31</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.				
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
Applicant's arguments concerning issuance of new rejection requiring such rejection(s) in an IDS on 8/8/07, and in propreclude finality of the action. Moreover, the other argument requires further consideration, and the application is not	tion(s) are not considered persuasire oviding such IDS, paid the fee, and ments require consideration of the nation a state for such further consideration.	ve, as Applicant ident therefore, the rejection newly claimed subject on. Hence, the rejection	ified the Art ons did not matter which				
maintained. Lastly, it is noted that Applicant's terminal d		proved and entered.					
12.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
10.1   Outon .							

**Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)** 

**Application No.** 

## /Robert M Kelly/ Acting Examiner of Art Unit 1633

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080420

Continuation of 3. NOTE: At least proposed claim 16 provides for making an expression library of a tumor cell as a step, which has not been required before, and hence, the scope of what is encompassed by such step requires further consideration, as well as consideration for new matter. Still further, as the withdawn claims have not been withdrawn, even if Applicant should overcome all rejections of record, further consideration would be required.